UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	2:18-cv-05123-RSWL-RAO			Date	September 10, 2018
Title	S.P.E.P. Acquisition Corp. v. Allegis Corporation				
Present: The Honorable RONALD S.W. LEW, Senior U.S. District Judge					
Joseph Remigio		None		n/a	
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.	
Attorneys Present fo		or Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
Not Prese		ent	Not Present		
This Order is issued pursuant to FRCP 4(m), which requires that plaintiff(s) serve the summons and complaint (petition) upon all defendants within 90 days after filing the complaint. The Court may dismiss the action if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action. It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff(s) must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court, Local Rule 7-1. The file in this case lacks the papers that would show it is being timely prosecuted, as reflected below. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing no later than September 17, 2018, why this action should not be dismissed lack of prosecution. As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will accept one of the following, if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently.					
•			ns and Complaint/Petition adant/s OR appropriate red	,	- /
submitted up	pon the filing of a	responsive pleadi	neard unless ordered by the ng or on the date upon when in the imposition of sanction	nich a re	sponse by plaintiff(s) is

Initials of Preparer JRE